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Invasive macrophyte species are generally associated with negative ecological impacts. However, the physiological and morphological characteristics that successfully allow macrophytes to establish in new ecosystems have yet to be determined. In organisms, such as terrestrial angiosperms, algae, and corals, morphology, surface area to volume ratio, and water velocity are determining factors in influencing the rate of an individual’s physiological processes. Two aquatic macrophytes, Vallisneria americana and Vallisneria spiralis, were used to determine whether leaf morphology influences the photosynthetic rate of aquatic macrophytes in both high (0.026 m s$^{-1}$) and low (0.001 m s$^{-1}$) freestream water velocities. Neither O$_2$ flux ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) nor O$_2$ production (µmol) significantly differed between species or freestream velocities. However, O$_2$ flux was observed to be highest for V. americana at low water velocity. In contrast, O$_2$ flux was highest for V. spiralis at high water velocity. This distinction in observed O$_2$ flux implies the morphological difference between V. americana and V. spiralis affects photosynthetic rate. Further research must be completed to determine physiological causes in this observed difference.

Physiological ecology describes the physiological mechanisms that explain ecological observations. Both the physical environment and the morphology of an organism can affect its ecological and physiological performance. For instance, the physiology of aquatic macrophytes is influenced by environmental factors such as water temperature, water velocity, light intensity, and the morphology of the organism. As with all physiological traits, the surface area/volume ratio (SA/V) has a defining role in the quantity of an aquatic macrophyte’s O$_2$ flux, that is, individuals with a larger surface area will produce more O$_2$ than smaller individuals. The larger the plant, the greater the surface area, thus the better it can decrease water velocity across the surface of its leaves, affecting all other physiologic and metabolic processes.

Water velocity affects the ability of many aquatic plants to uptake dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) influencing the ability to photosynthesise. For an aquatic macrophyte, optimizing DIC uptake enables the plant to maximize photosynthesis and allocate resources to both growth and reproduction, however, the uptake kinetics of DIC can differ among species, either linearly or non-linearly. Water motion across the surface of a leaf may be reconfigured from turbulent to laminar flow by the morphology of the organism, directly affecting metabolism and DIC uptake. An intricate morphology of an aquatic macrophyte can reduce the velocity of the surrounding water; thus increasing DIC uptake and photosynthetic rate. It is therefore optimal for an aquatic macrophyte to be situated in slow-flowing water, or to be able to reduce the velocity of the water for increased DIC uptake. However, the location of DIC and nutrient uptake can vary with species based on their morphology. For example, in Elodia canadensis, CO$_2$ uptake was observed primarily at the mid-rib section of the plant, whereas in Potamogeton crispus, CO$_2$ uptake was uniform throughout each leaf. The resulting morphology of an aquatic macrophyte affects their ability to obtain nutrients, indicating their capability to survive in areas of low nutrients and DIC through influencing nutrient uptake.

An underlying component to an individual’s morphology is the SA/V of the organism. Plants that display an intricate morphology such as whorls, undulations, and coarsely branched leaves, display an increase in SA/V and therefore have the opportunity for an increase in DIC uptake. Reduced ability for DIC uptake occurs when individuals are morphologically basic, being only composed of simple ribbon-like leaves or a central stem. Increasing morphological complexity can indirectly increase an organism’s abundance and distribution across habitats through being able to optimize photosynthetic rate,
enhancing both vegetative and reproductive propagation.\textsuperscript{26}

Similar SA/V models have been demonstrated to have functional significance in algae,\textsuperscript{8, 26} corals,\textsuperscript{2} and terrestrial angiosperms.\textsuperscript{22, 25} Stewart and Carpenter found that the photosynthetic rate of the algae \textit{Dictyopteris undulata} is influenced by its morphology.\textsuperscript{26} Similar to aquatic macrophytes, algae rely on the movement of water to remove \textit{O}_2 from their surface, and for the delivery of dissolved nutrients. Low flow habitats tend to be occupied by algae species with high SA/V to enhance the removal of \textit{O}_2 from the leaf surface, as well as saturate DIC uptake.\textsuperscript{26, 27} Similar to macrophytes, nutrient uptake in corals is correlated with water velocity, irradiance, and particularly external nutrient concentration; a general diffusive concept in all aquatic organisms.\textsuperscript{2} Extensive ecophysiological research focusing on the \textit{O}_2 production in terrestrial angiosperms indicates that photosynthesis is dependent upon both leaf and root morphology in nine different boreal tree species independent of relative growth rate.\textsuperscript{22, 25} Leaf photosynthesis and respiration rates were correlated to specific leaf surface area in all nine species.\textsuperscript{22} Smith et al. continued to demonstrate that leaf form and photosynthesis are not only affected by SA/V,\textsuperscript{25} but that leaf structural form evolves in response to the amount of sunlight in terrestrial plants. Extensive ecophysiological research supports the correlation of SA/V with physiological processes.

Most opportunistic and adventive aquatic macrophytes are able to maximize their SA/V and ability to acquire DIC, unlike some native species of macrophyte. The establishment of invasive aquatic macrophytes can drastically alter the ecology of lakes. Generally, exotic invasive macrophytes have higher SA/V and therefore increase epiphyton productivity in the littoral zone.\textsuperscript{11} Understanding the morphological reasons that enable certain macrophytes to maximize nutrient uptake due to increased SA/V will help to predict which species will have the largest impact on food web dynamics, composition, and lake productivity. Viaroli et al. specify that the growth patterns of macrophytes influence the benthic nutrient flux of shallow eutrophic lakes,\textsuperscript{27} affecting ecosystem function. Understanding the relationships between photosynthesis, morphology, and water velocity in aquatic macrophytes is crucial to predicting ecosystem functioning of lakes, potential invasive species, and speciation events.

Currently, climate change is altering lake temperatures, productivity, and species composition.\textsuperscript{21} With these alterations, the stability of the water column increases, decreasing primary productivity.\textsuperscript{21} Such drastic physical changes affect the survival of many species and can result in speciation events, including extinctions. In these situations macrophytes with high DIC affinity could displace species with a lower affinity and sensitivity to DIC increases (\textit{V. americana}), potentially rendering these species extinct.\textsuperscript{20}

To inspect the relationships between photosynthesis, morphology, and water velocity we quantified the photosynthetic rate of two aquatic macrophyte species at two separate freestream velocities. Two freshwater macrophytes

\textbf{Figure 1A: Vallisneria americana} in an aquarium with no flow (0 m s\textsuperscript{-1}); scale bar, 1 cm.

\textbf{Figure 1B: Vallisneria spiralis} in an aquarium with no flow (0 m s\textsuperscript{-1}); scale bar, 1 cm.
that occur throughout Europe and North America are Vallisneria spiralis and Vallisneria americana both of which occupy similar niches in their respective environment.20, 24 Both plants have a rosette configuration with long ribbon-like leaves; however, the key morphological distinction between the two species is the spiral twist of V. spiralis’ leaves in comparison to the flat leaves of V. americana (Figure 1). In this case we define V. spiralis as having an intricate morphology due to the twist in its leaves compared to V. americana’s simple morphology. Based on this principle we predict that V. spiralis will have an enhanced rate of photosynthesis in comparison to V. americana, and that photosynthetic rate in both species will increase as water velocity increases. Photosynthesis was measured as the O₂ flux at two freestream water velocities and plants were subjected to the same water temperature and light irradiance levels. The objective of this study is to determine if the morphology of an aquatic macrophyte has an effect on photosynthesis when subjected to varying freestream flow velocities.

**METHODS**

Aquatic Macrophytes

Twenty-four V. americana Michx. and V. spiralis L. plants (obtained from Boreal Laboratories) were grown on soil substrate in two separate 20 L aquaria with a 50:50 ratio of PAR was maintained at 140 μmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ measured at the centre of the aquaria using a 4π sensor (QSL2101, Biospherical Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA), and set to a diel cycle of 12:12h L:D. V. americana and V. spiralis plants that were uprooted were (1) free of epiphytes, (2) without undulations along the leaves in the case of V. americana and (3) with a minimum of two spirals per leaf in the case of V. spiralis. Preceding each experiment plants were left to acclimate in water at 22°C over night. Plants were transported in a sealed Thermos container when travelling between the Hagen Aqualab (University of Guelph) and the Ackerman Physical Ecology lab (University of Guelph) to account for possible temperature shock.

Following each trial, pictures were taken of the uprooted plants and measured to scale. The entire surface area of each plant was determined using ImageJ software and was then incorporated to standardize the calculated O₂ flux of each plant. This procedure also takes into account the likelihood that tissue age may influence O₂ profiles. The physiologies of these two species were assumed to be similar due to their close phylogenetic relatedness.

Experimental setup

A specially constructed 700 mL flow chamber, with a 0.09 m x 0.16 m centre column surrounded by a 4 L cylindrical water bath of 0.19 m x 0.16 m was operated using a magnetic stir bar and stir plate (Figure 2). The centre
column of water was continuously mixed at one of two velocities; high velocity (0.026 m s\(^{-1}\)) and low velocity (0.001 m s\(^{-1}\)). Water was supplied to the water bath from a faucet at a flow rate of 2 mL s\(^{-1}\) and the temperature of the centre water column was maintained at 22.9 +/- 0.2°C (mean +/- s.d.). Preceding the experiment by no less than 12 hours, the centre column of the flow chamber was filled with 700 mL of tap water and left to equilibrate, therefore allowing the vaporization of any gases within the water and permitting the water to reach room temperature (22.4°C).

Oxygen readings and water temperature were logged every 30 minutes using a YSI-85 hand held dissolved oxygen probe (Model 85-10FT, YSI Inc.). A minimum of 30 minutes elapsed prior to recording the oxygen profiles for each plant, to allow oxygen readings and temperature to equilibrate prior to data collection. Light was provided to the flow chamber by a fibre-optic illuminator (Model NI-150, Dolan-Jenner Industries), which supplied white light without increasing the temperature of the plant, approximately 0.05 m from the sensor head. The centre water column was maintained at 22.9 +/- 0.2°C (mean +/- s.d.). Measurements at the location of the plant, approximately 0.05 m from the water surface and 0.007 m from the centre of the water column, were taken for both high (300 rpm) and low (60 rpm) stir bar settings. Due to the circular water motion in the water column, water velocity was measured by calculating kinetic energy, rather than linear velocity. The kinetic energy of the water was calculated using the equation:

\[ E_k = \frac{1}{2}(v^2 + u^2 + w^2) \]  

(1)

where \(v\) is the velocity in the axial plane (m s\(^{-1}\)), \(u\) is the velocity in the vertical plane (m s\(^{-1}\)), and \(w\) is the velocity in the transverse plane (m s\(^{-1}\)). Water velocity measurements were described using the kinetic energy value, high velocity equalling 0.026 m s\(^{-1}\), and low velocity equalling 0.001 m s\(^{-1}\). To minimize the effects of growth rate on oxygen production, a randomized block design was used to select plants and to determine the sequence of trials. A total of five \(V.\ americana\), and five \(V.\ spiralis\) plants were used at both high and low water velocities giving a sample size of \(N = 5\) for all four treatments.

**Statistical analysis**

S-PLUS 8.0.4 statistical software (Enterprise Developer, 2007) was used to compare the \(O_2\) flux at high (0.026 m s\(^{-1}\)) and low (0.001 m s\(^{-1}\)) water velocities for both \(V.\ americana\) and \(V.\ spiralis\). Non-parametric data with unequal variances required the use of a Friedman’s two-way ANOVA. Two-way Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney t-tests were subsequently used to compare treatments. Both Friedman’s and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests were repeated to compare \(O_2\) produced as a comparison to \(O_2\) flux values. Obtained P-values were considered to be significantly different if less than 0.05. Values are reported as mean +/- 1 s.e.m. unless otherwise noted.

**RESULTS**

\(O_2\) flux

The \(O_2\) flux at high and low water velocities for \(V.\ americana\) and \(V.\ spiralis\) were not significantly different (df=1, P=0.7147) (Figure 3). Oxygen flux values were on the order of 10 magnitudes less than previously determined for \(V.\ americana\) and \(V.\ spiralis\) at similar water velocities,\(^{20}\) and are comparable to other studies concerning aquatic macrophytes.\(^{19}\)

Disregarding the two different species, no significant difference in \(O_2\) flux was observed between high and low water velocities (P=0.9118). When disregarding water velocity, no significant difference was observed between the two species (P=0.4359) (Figure 2).

When comparing \(O_2\) flux at only high velocity between \(V.\ americana\) and \(V.\ spiralis\), no significant difference was observed (P=0.4206). Similarly no significant difference was observed when comparing \(O_2\) flux at low velocity (P=0.8413). However, overall, \(O_2\) flux was highest for \(V.\ americana\) at high velocities, where as contrastingly, \(O_2\) flux was highest for \(V.\ spiralis\) at low velocities (Table 1).

---

**Table 1: Mean \(O_2\) flux at both high (0.026 m s\(^{-1}\)) and low (0.001 m s\(^{-1}\)) water velocities for both \(Vallisneria americana\) and \(Vallisneria spiralis\). \(N = 5\), values are means +/- 1 s.e.m.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Velocity (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mean (O_2) flux ((\mu)mol m(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(V.\ americana)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High (0.026)</td>
<td>0.0139 +/- 1.54 x 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V.\ americana)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Low (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0209 +/- 8.16 x 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V.\ spiralis)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High (0.026)</td>
<td>0.0229 +/- 6.15 x 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V.\ spiralis)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Low (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0160 +/- 2.83 x 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
O$_2$ produced

Friedman’s two-way ANOVA and two-way Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney t-tests were repeated by comparing O$_2$ produced (µmol) rather than O$_2$ flux (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Similar treatment groups were not significantly different; however, P-values decreased in each comparison to the O$_2$ flux statistical tests, indicating possible biological significance rather than statistical significance. No statistical significant difference was found between all four treatments in oxygen production at both the high or low velocities (df=1, P=0.5166) (Figure 4). Oxygen production did not significantly differ between high and low velocities (P=0.2670) or between $V$. americana and $V$. spiralis (P=0.1258). Furthermore, no difference was observed in O$_2$ produced between $V$. americana and $V$. spiralis at high velocities (P=0.1412) or at low velocities (P=0.7449). However, O$_2$ production was greater at high velocities than low velocities for both species (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

O$_2$ flux

Measuring O$_2$ flux allows for the standardization of the amount of oxygen flowing per unit surface area per unit time. This calculation is critical and accounts for both plant size and duration of photosynthesis; two factors with high variability during measurement of produced O$_2$. Although all O$_2$ flux comparisons were not statistically significant, visual comparisons of the data indicate that dissimilarity in O$_2$ production exists between the two species. This may be due to the simple spiral difference in their morphology. In these comparisons, the data was biologically significant rather than statistically significant. Unlike other research comparing water velocity, morphology, and O$_2$ flux of aquatic macrophytes,$^{17, 20}$ the null hypothesis is accepted in this research, indicating no-significant statistical difference between water velocity, O$_2$ flux, and morphology in $V$. americana and $V$. spiralis.

Interestingly, contrasting trends were observed between species. Oxygen flux at high water velocity in $V$. americana was similar to O$_2$ flux in $V$. spiralis at low water velocity. Similarly, O$_2$ flux in $V$. americana at low water velocity was similar to O$_2$ flux in $V$. spiralis at high water velocity (Figure 3) (Table 1). Although statistically non-significant, inspection of the data demonstrated the ability of an intricate morphology to decrease the water velocity across the leaf surface, which may enhance the ability for optimal DIC uptake.$^9$ This data implies that in the case of $V$. spiralis at high water velocities, the spiral twist of its leaves reduced the water velocity, increasing photosynthetic rate. At low water velocities, slow water movement may have prevented the removal of O$_2$ from the leaf surface.$^{26}$ Conversely, the opposite scenario applies to $V$. americana. The simple ribbon-like leaves of $V$. americana may allow for ample removal of O$_2$ from the leaf surface at low water velocities, however, as water velocity increases, the leaf is unable to reduce water velocity across its surface to a velocity suitable for photosynthesis.$^{20, 26}$
Comparing \( \text{O}_2 \) produced (\( \mu \text{mol} \)) rather than \( \text{O}_2 \) flux (\( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) revealed statistically non-significant differences between \( V. \text{americana} \) and \( V. \text{spiralis} \) at both high and low freestream water velocities. However, in all comparisons, P-values were smaller than during \( \text{O}_2 \) flux analyses. Additionally, for both species, maximal \( \text{O}_2 \) production was observed at high velocities (Figure 4), compared to maximal \( \text{O}_2 \) flux observed at low water velocity in the case of \( V. \text{americana} \) (Figure 3). These contrasting results highlight the importance of standardizing data by leaf tissue amount. In the case of \( V. \text{americana} \) plants at low water velocities produce contrastingly more \( \text{O}_2 \) per SA/V compared to either \( V. \text{americana} \) plants at high velocities or \( V. \text{spiralis} \) plants at either high or low water velocities. Therefore, SA/V ratio has a greater effect on \( \text{O}_2 \) flux in \( V. \text{americana} \) than in \( V. \text{spiralis} \).

**Future research**

Non-statistically significant results may be attributed to small data values with extreme variance obtained from this study. Future studies should aim to increase the sample size to a minimum of \( N = 10 \) for all treatments. This increase could potentially lead to divergence in \( \text{O}_2 \) flux between \( V. \text{americana} \) and \( V. \text{spiralis} \) as well as decreasing variation in obtained measurements. Maximal water velocity in the flow chamber utilized was 0.026 m s\(^{-1} \), however, utilization of other flume techniques would allow for an increase in water velocity. As well, multiple treatments of continually increasing water velocities could contain the potential to highlight any discrepancies in water velocity comparisons. A common fault in measuring colonial organisms consists of pseudoreplication through measuring ramets rather than the genet; that is, measuring functionally rather than genetically distinguishable organisms. Assuming the original 24 \( V. \text{americana} \) and \( V. \text{spiralis} \) plants obtained from Boreal Laboratories were genetically distinct, the above sampling design is not pseudoreplicated. However, the utilized macrophytes have undergone vegetative propagation in both 20 L aquaria, with random individuals being selected for sampling. Due to this random sampling design there was no guarantee that two individuals sampled were genetically distinct and may in fact belong to the same genet, resulting in potential non-independence of replicates. Genetic analysis of individuals would clarify whether functionally or genetically distinguishable individuals were being sampled. However, vegetative propagation occurs frequently within ecological populations. Therefore, sampling several ramets may in fact be more representative of ecological circumstances, compared to samples being genetically distinct.

It is also possible that the correlation between increasing SA/V with \( \text{O}_2 \) is a consequence of phylogenetic characteristics rather than morphological characteristics of these species. Genetic or evolutionary history discrepancies between species may explain differences in observed \( \text{O}_2 \) flux. However, a single phylogenetic divergence event has occurred between \( V. \text{americana} \) and \( V. \text{spiralis} \), rendering them each other’s closest phylogenetic relative and were
Figure 5: Velocity profiles of the cylindrical 700 mL flow chamber at a stir bar rotation velocity of (A) 300 rpm and (B) 60 rpm. All measurements were made 0.007 m from the centre of the cylindrical column of water. The dashed line represents the average height at which each plant was located in the flow chamber, indicating the average height at which kinetic energy was calculated.
therefore assumed to have similar metabolic rates. Stewart and Carpenter also conclude that physiological studies involving SA/V transcend phylogenetic boundaries, rendering any phylogenetic divergence between species an improbable cause of any difference in O₂ flux. It is unlikely that any observed difference in O₂ flux between V. americana and V. spiralis is due to phylogenetic dissimilarities.

Changes in O₂ flux may have been undetected due to limitations in oxygen sensor accuracy (YSI 85-10FT accuracy of +/- 2%), resulting in no statistical difference in O₂ detected between species or water velocities. Utilization of an oxygen sensor with increased sensitivity would eliminate the doubt of minor quantities of O₂ being unnoticeably produced. Nishihara and Ackerman indicate that the concentration boundary layer surrounding a plant is negatively affected by microsensors. A similar concept may be applied to the O₂ sensor used in this technique. Continual movement of the YSI oxygen probe was required to obtain an accurate O₂ reading; however, this movement would undoubtedly disrupt the concentration boundary layer and introduce atmospheric oxygen into the water sample. This process potentially disrupts the O₂ flux recorded from the macrophyte. The technique used in this study has potential for improvements, and must be refined to entirely eliminate any possible external oxygen sources from affecting O₂ flux readings. Designing a flow chamber customized for the specified oxygen probe and increasing water velocity would eliminate the necessity for manual mixing of the YSI O₂ probe.

Water velocity profiles of the 700 mL flow chamber were not analyzed until after experimentation, and were revealed to be non-uniform in shape at the height of the plant (Figure 5A, B). High variability in velocity depended upon the boundary distance at which velocity was measured, resulting in an inconsistent velocity profile. Profiles contrasted between high velocity (300 rpm) and low velocity (60 rpm) measurements, with a positive linear relationship demonstrated at 300 rpm, and a negative exponential relationship at 60 rpm. These contrasts in water velocity profiles have the potential to account for discrepancies observed in O₂ flux measurements. Future studies must utilize a tested flow chamber with uniform stable water velocity profiles to obtain accountable O₂ flux profiles for V. americana and V. spiralis.

Alterations to the experimental procedure described above could potentially include CO₂ kinetic and pH-drift experiments during each trial, testing for a potential difference in the affinity for DIC between species. No difference in DIC affinity would support the above non-significant results. Individual leaves of V. spiralis have previously been demonstrated to have increased DIC affinity over V. americana, however, this result has not yet been supported in an intact plant in freestream velocities.

Ecological implications
Discerning the proportions to which morphologic and abiotic variables influence physiological processes is complex. Understanding how environmental, phylogenetic, and morphological factors influence macrophyte survival will help to predict possible speciation and extinction events that are imminent during current and future climate change events. The acidification of marine ecosystems due to increases in atmospheric CO₂ provides pertinent motivation to promptly understand these relationships for aquatic ecosystem conservation, aquatic macrophyte distribution, and potential freshwater remediation.

Conclusion
Previous studies have concluded the importance of SA/V and water velocity on physiological processes in aquatic macrophytes. Quantification of these variables on photosynthetic rate of intact V. americana and V. spiralis plants in freestream velocity was attempted in this research. Lack of statistical significance in this study prevents concrete conclusions being stated in regards to O₂ flux of V. americana and V. spiralis at high and low freestream water velocities. Although not significant, there was an observable increase in O₂ flux of V. spiralis at high water velocities, which may be attributed to the spiral twists of the leaves. Future potential research could eliminate the spiral twist of V. spiralis in freestream water velocities, as well as measure water pH changes during photosynthesis to determine if increased O₂ flux at high water velocities is due to increased metabolic activity or leaf morphology. Further research is essential to discern the effects of morphology and velocity on the ecophysiological processes of aquatic macrophytes.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Plant care

Twenty-four V. americana and V. spiralis plants were obtained from Boreal Laboratories approximately four months prior to experimentation. Plants were permitted to grow on a 12:12 diel cycle, in two separate aquaria with a soil substrate and an aeration stone. Three lights were used to ensure that adequate red and blue wavelengths were present for absorption by chlorophyll a and b; two metal halide bulbs and one compact fluorescent bulb. 12 All three lights were hung above the two aquaria and PAR was maintained at 140 £mol photon m2 s2 measured at the centre of the aquaria using a 4# sensor (QSL2101, Biospherical Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA). Aquaria were observed daily, and any
excess algae were removed. Water in the aquaria was initially composed of 50:50 well water: distilled water; however, only distilled water was added to the aquaria when re-filling to prevent water hardness from continually increasing.

After approximately one month, both algae and snails became excessive in both aquaria, and a method to reduce the quantity of algae in both tanks was sought after. After extensive research and consulting with both botanists and pet store aquarium personnel the method of Poor Man’s Dupla Drops (PMDD) was applied. PMDD was mixed and administered in daily doses of 2 drops/ aquaria. Plants received PMDD for approximately one month prior to and throughout experimentation.

**Technique Development**

Originally a specially constructed 40 L flow chamber of 1.0 m x 0.15 m x 0.15 m was used with flow straighteners in the first 0.25 m. Water in the flow chamber was maintained at 20°C and flowed at one of six different freestream velocities at the leading edge of the plant: 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.08, 0.10 or 0.15 m s⁻¹. These velocities are similar to velocities experienced by *V. americana* and *V. spiralis* in their natural environment. Prior to use, velocity profiles in the flow chamber were determined to be uniform in shape through using particle image velocimetry (PIV). Plants were situated in the middle of the flow chamber at 0.80 m downstream from the straighteners.

This 40 L flume apparatus was able to maintain stable water temperature readings of 20.7 +/- 0.4 °C as well as percent oxygen values of 89 +/- 1.2 % with no plant present. However, constant equipment failures such as a leaking motor, three failed brass, copper, and teflon ball bearings within two months, and a failed thermocouple requiring five weeks to repair, proved this flume impractical for this experiment. It was also postulated that the volume of this flume was simply too great to note an observable change in O₂ flux from a single plant within 6 hours of operation. After this decision the 4 L flume was incorporated. A control trial was obtainable in the 4 L flume in which O₂ was maintained at 72.3 +/- 0.4 % and temperature was maintained at 22.9 +/- 0.2°C. The flow chamber was run for approximately 90 minutes prior to the beginning of a trial to permit O₂ and temperature to equilibrate. Each plant remained in the flume for a duration of approximately 2 hours, resulting in a total of 3.5 hours required for each trial.

Continual concerns arose regarding the YSI dissolved O₂ probe (YSI 85-10 FT). After comparison to multiple other O₂ probes, and talking to an YSI technician, it was determined that the YSI probe was no longer properly functioning. After fixing this problem, there was concern that the YSI probe simply would not be sensitive enough to observe a difference in O₂ flux over a reasonable amount of time. This problem was solved by means of the smaller 4 L flume.

Tap water is naturally saturated with dissolved O₂ gas, denoting that no O₂ produced from photosynthesis will dissolve into the water used for trials. It is imperative that the water used for experimentation is slightly hypoxic. Several methods for purging water of O₂ were attempted, however, bubbling with nitrogen gas was the most efficient. Adding sodium sulphite allowed water to remain hypoxic for a four hour time period, however, it prevented an observable change in O₂ flux by reacting with any O₂ that was produced through photosynthesis.

Prior to implementation of PMDD into the plant care protocol a decrease in O₂ of 2% per hour was observed in the 40 L flume, indicating that the plant was consuming O₂ through respiration. However, supplementing aquaria water with PMDD both corrected for this decrease in O₂ during a trial as well as prevented algal growth in the aquaria.

Velocity profiles for the 4 L cylindrical flume were determined using ADV and kinetic energy calculations allowed for the determination of freestream velocity within the centre column of the flume (Eqn 1). Due to the skewed velocity profiles at both 300 rpm (Figure 5A) and 60 rpm (Figure 5B), kinetic energy calculations were only completed and averaged over the area where the plant was placed during trials; 0.05 to 0.08 m from the water surface and 0.007 m from the centre of the water column.

Substantial set backs were encountered in the technique development portion of this experiment, and these set backs are reflected in the small sample size observed. In the future this experiment would preferably continue for a longer period of time so that a larger sample size in the 4 L flume could be obtained.