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Simulation of proteins and membranes composed of synthetic lipids on computer clusters provides molecular information that complements experimental data. This paper describes molecular dynamics (MD) approaches to study the properties of biological membranes and proteins using the freely available GROMACS package on the C-terminal \( \alpha \)-helical peptide of myelin basic protein (MBP). We simulated a mixed membrane – consisting of 2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine/1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DMPC/DMPE), and a pure DMPC membrane, composed of 188 and 248 lipids, respectively – for 200 ns at 309K. The DMPC membrane was approximately three times more fluid compared to the DMPC/DMPE system, with the diffusion coefficients (D) being 0.0207x10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)/s and 0.0068x10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)/s, respectively. In addition, we simulated the 14-residue peptide representing the C-terminal \( \alpha \)-helical region of murine MBP, with sequence NH\(_2\)-A\(_{141}\)YDAQTLSKIFKL154-COOH, in both membrane systems for 200 ns. The negatively-charged N-terminal end of the peptide penetrated further into the DMPC bilayer than into the mixed DMPC/DMPE bilayer. Reduced peptide accessibility to a formal positive charge of the DMPC amine ‘N’ atom surrounded by methyl and methylene groups may be the cause [1]. The peptide lost its \( \alpha \)-helical structure in DMPC/DMPE but not in the DMPC bilayer. These findings show that membrane composition affects MBP’s interaction with it, a phenomenon that provides insights into myelin structure – and that may eventually be relevant to understanding the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis (MS).

Biological membranes contain phospholipids that are amphipathic in nature, and thus can effectively interact with a wide variety of molecules. Their main role is to form semi-permeable membranes that act as selective barriers and compartmentalize organelles of the cell. Typical cell membranes have two sheets of lipids that form a hydrated bilayer approximately 4-5 nm thick [2].

Biological membranes play key roles in transport, signal recognition and transduction [3]. Temperature, lipid/protein/cholesterol composition, and bilayer thickness are some of the key parameters that define membrane properties [4]. Modifications in membrane fluidity significantly affect functions such as carrier-mediated transport, the properties of some membrane-bound enzymes, phagocytosis, endocytosis, and cell growth [5]. Applications of these discoveries to preliminary gene therapy studies using liposomes are especially promising [6].

In silico simulation of membrane lipids, peptides, and proteins, allows observation of their behavior at the molecular level, and can help one interpret experimental data. This paper describes how to set up and extract useful information from heterogeneous lipid membrane simulations using GROMACS 4.0.5, applied to mixed DMPC/DMPE and pure DMPC membranes. Although many advanced experimental techniques exist to study membrane properties -- including atomic force microscopy (AFM), X-ray scattering and diffraction, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) -- in silico molecular dynamics (MD) simulations provide a means to facilitate interpretation of experimental data at an atomic level [3].

**BACKGROUND**

**Molecular Dynamics (MD): A brief description**

Molecular dynamics investigates the motion of discrete particles under external forces and quantifies them [3]. The interaction of two particles with potential energy produces forces. These forces, with some experimental parameters derived from experimental observations and quantum mechanical calculations, establish the forcefield [7]. The two components of the forcefield comprise interactions between atoms covalently bound, and non-covalent van der Waals and electrostatic interactions between partial charges [3].
Simulation of complex systems of hundreds and millions of atoms is achieved by GROMACS (see www.GROMACS.org), one of the fastest and most popular molecular dynamics software packages. GROMACS was designed primarily for simulations of nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids based on non-bonding interactions. The software is not capable of simulations where bonds are broken and reformed, such as chemical / enzymatic reactions. But for situations where non-bonding interactions are expected to predominate, GROMACS can provide information about the behavior of the solute and solvent molecules, and potentially support and explain experimental data.

Myelin basic protein (MBP) – Statement of a biological problem
MBP is an important protein in the central nervous system, as it facilitates myelin sheath compaction that is responsible for efficient signal propagation [8]. The protein is found in various isoforms with a predominant splice isoform of 18.5 kDa in an adult brain. The main physiological role of MBP is to maintain the myelin sheath that wraps around neurons in the brain and spinal cord, by holding together both cytoplasmic leaflets of oligodendrocyte membranes.

Recent studies have demonstrated how the severity of MS is correlated with post-translational modifications, such as citrullination [10]. Due to its central role, MBP thought to be connected with myelin degradation in the central nervous system in MS. Molecular dynamics provides a powerful means to study the behavior and interaction patterns of MBP with lipid membranes. Such investigations yield information that could provide insights into myelin structure, and eventually into molecular and pathogenic mechanisms in MS. Here, the simulations of DMPC and mixed DMPC/DMPE (with 1:1 molar ratio) membranes, and the MBP C-terminal α-helical peptide, were performed on the SHARCNET cluster. The results revealed the important correlation of membrane composition with MBP behavior, useful to further our knowledge of how myelin is structured.

This article aims to describe both a practical and methodological approach to molecular dynamics through the GROMACS package, as well as to introduce possible applications of such simulations to real biological problems. Thus, it is useful to consult the supplementary material for additional information, key files, and additional programs written in C++ that facilitate the setup of molecular dynamics simulations. (see also: www.uoguelph.ca/~kbessono).

METHODS

Purpose
To investigate how both DMPC and DMPC/DMPE membranes affect the behavior of a 14-residue peptide representing one of three α-helical regions of the classic murine myelin basic protein (MBP).

The peptide properties
We modeled the sequence
\[ \text{NH}_2-A_{141}YDAQGTLSKIFKL_{154}-\text{COOH} \]
as a 14-residue long α-helical peptide using the program MOE 2008, run under a 32-bit Windows environment. This predicted α-helical segment is closest to the C-terminus of the MBP. The simulated peptide segment had an overall +1 charge, and displayed 38% hydrophilicity based on its primary sequence, as shown in Figure 1. The negatively-charged aspartic acid (D) residue confers -1 charge to the peptide’s N-terminus, while two lysine (K) residues ensure a +2 charge at the C-terminal end. The overall peptide pI is predicted to be 9.6. In silico, the peptide was placed just slightly above the lipid bilayer and simulated for 200 ns.

Results visualization
For visualization of structural files and trajectories computed by GROMACS, the Visual Molecular
Dynamics (VMD) program from University of Illinois (www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd) was extensively utilized. All illustrations were prepared with VMD run on a Linux 32-bit platform.

Getting structural files
Numerous GROMACS 4.0.5 utilities were employed to generate a suitable simulation system (Figure 2 and 3). The 3D box system is represented by 9x9x10 nm virtual space containing all atoms of the simulated system. Our work was based on the publicly accessible DMPC structural coordinates file obtained from Dr. Peter Tieleman’s laboratory at the University of Calgary Biocomputing Group with a lipid density of 67 Å²/lipid [11]. A second, mixed DMPC/DMPE membrane was based on work performed by Dr. George Harauz during a visit to Dr. Peter Tieleman’s group in early 2009, through a more complicated procedure utilizing in-lab protocols.

Converting structural files to GROMACS file format
The obtained coordinates files for both membranes were converted to GROMACS file format (*.gro) using the pdb2gmx program. Converting pdb (“protein data bank”) format files to GROMACS format (*.gro files) avoids potential compatibility problems and utilizes all features offered by GROMACS. The resultant topology file from pdb2gmx output (topology.top) was essential in describing the composition of the system in terms of molecules, and of their respective dihedral angles and bonding patterns that were modified later. The specified parameter -box defined the x,y,z virtual space dimensions of the 3D simulation box in nm. For example,

```
pdb2gmx -f membrane.pdb -o new_membrane.gro -p topology.top -box 9.03 9.03 10.15
```

Solvation of the lipid bilayer with water molecules using genbox
Simple point charge water (spc 216) molecules were added to both sides of the lipid bilayers to represent more accurately the hydrated cellular lipid bilayer. Since genbox inserts water molecules randomly, some of them were inserted into the non-polar region of the membrane between acyl chains. Those water molecules were removed by the “water_remover” program, that
removes any water molecules within defined upper and lower limits along the x, y or z axis of the box (see Supplementary Material). The topology file was updated with the correct number of water molecules calculated with “gro_counter” that specifically counted molecules in gro files (Supplementary material). The lipid bilayer was solvated with spc 216 water molecules as shown below:

```
genbox -cp new_membrane.gro -cs spc216.gro -o solvated_membrane.gro -p topology.top```

Neutralizing the simulation box
An overall simulation system zero charge was obtained by adding counter-ions, increasing the stability and reliability of the simulation results. The counter-ions were added to binary tpr files using the genion program. Using the grompp program, it was possible to create a binary tpr file from the simulation files, including an ions.mdp file that defines simulation parameters (Supplementary Material). For example,

```
grompp -f ions.mdp -c neutral_membrane.gro -p topol.top -o em.tpr
genion -s neutral_membrane.tpr -o neutral_membrane.gro -p topology.top -pname NA+ -nname CL- -nn 4```

Energy minimization
To prevent overlap between atoms and increase the stability of the system, energy minimization (EM) using the steepest descents method was first done for both membranes. EM is usually required before any MD run, because lipid membrane solvation in water usually introduces some bad contacts/atom clashes that need to be relaxed before giving kinetic energy (i.e., MD). Execution of parameters in an .mdp file (Supplementary Material) directs mdrun for the optimal positioning of the molecules with the overall lowest possible system energy. Since mdrun requires a binary tpr file, first em.tpr was created with grompp. Parameters describing energy minimization (especially parameter integrator = steep) in the minim.mdp file will run the molecular simulation in energy minimization mode, as in

```
grompp -f minim.mdp -c neutral_membrane.gro -p topol.top -o em.tpr
mdrun -v -s em.tpr -deffnm em```

Here, the DMPC and DMPC/DMPE membranes were energy-minimized in approximately 800 steps to 900 kJ/mol.

Starting the molecular dynamics run
The molecular dynamics simulations were started on energy-minimized files (em.gro). Since the lipid simulation boxes contained between 30 and 60 thousand molecules, it was necessary to use computer clusters (e.g., SHARCNET). As well, the mdrun program (e.g., mdrun_mpi) was designed to support multithreading of subroutines on multiple processors, taking advantage of the speed of parallel computing. The simulation was run for 200 ns (system trajectory) on 64 CPUs for a total of two weeks (real time). The energy-minimized files were assembled using grompp with simulation parameters described by the md.mdp file. For example,

```
grompp -f md.mdp -c em.gro -p topology.top -o lipid_simulation.tpr
mdrun -v -s lipid_simulation.tpr -deffnm lipid_simulation```

The assembled DMPC and DMPC/DMPE systems were simulated for 200 ns. The obtained trajectory files were analyzed, measuring parameters summarized in Table 1. The g_energy command from the GROMACS package, and the Perl script InflateGro from Dr. Tieleman’s research group, were used [11]. Other parameters, such as solvent accessibility, were not successfully measured due to g_sas's difficulty in recognition of the hydrophobic parts of the DMPC molecule.

Visualization and analysis of trajectories
The 200 ns trajectory files (trr and xtr) were viewed and analyzed with VMD 1.8.6 and GROMACS, comparing parameters such as membrane thickness, membrane area/lipid, and total energies of systems. These simulations could be re-run with different parameters, including different membrane compositions and temperatures or pressures, in order to study their combined or separate effects on the trajectories and overall system stability.

Calculation of membrane thickness
Membrane thickness was calculated by labeling phosphate atoms of lipid molecules on opposite sides of the bilayer with the help of VMD software. The membrane thickness in DMPC and DMPC/DMPE bilayers did not change significantly during simulation, indicating stability of the membrane. Accurate membrane thickness determination was hindered by constant random lipid motion in both bilayers (data not shown).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Measuring membrane properties

Membrane dynamics simulations provide a means for studying how various conditions such as temperature, protein, cholesterol content, etc., affect membrane characteristics such as fluidity and lipid velocity. The DMPC and DMPC/DMPE bilayers simulation results are summarized in Table 1. All of these parameters are measured by the GROMACS programs shown in Table 1.

The DMPC membrane was found to be more loosely packed than the DMPC/DMPE membrane system, with average areas per lipid of 67 Å² and 55 Å², respectively. It is expected that membranes with a higher density of lipid packing will restrict movement of freely diffusible molecules such as peptides and proteins. Diffusion coefficients (D) describe the mobility of the molecules. Higher D values are indicative of greater mobility. The simulation results in Table 1 indicate that a DMPC bilayer has three times greater fluidity when compared to a mixed DMPC/DMPE bilayer at 309K. This effect is shown by the diffusion coefficients (D) of 0.0207x10⁻⁵ cm²/s and 0.0068x10⁻⁵ cm²/s, respectively. The fluidity difference between the two membranes could be explained partially by the density difference of lipid packing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>DMPC</th>
<th>DMPC/DMPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of atoms</td>
<td>62613</td>
<td>30416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of lipid molecules</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>94/94 (188)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient (D) x10⁻⁵ cm²/s</td>
<td>0.0207</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic Energy (J/mol)</td>
<td>161870</td>
<td>78602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy (J/mol)</td>
<td>-891474</td>
<td>-477439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Capacity Cv (J/mol*K)</td>
<td>12.4721</td>
<td>12.4724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (bar)</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Area per lipid (Å²/lipid)</td>
<td>67.26</td>
<td>55.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Thickness (Å)</td>
<td>33.9 – 35.72</td>
<td>35.8-38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulation of 14-residue long MBP C-terminal peptide

In addition to pure membrane simulations, adding a 14-residue long myelin basic protein peptide to each bilayer system showed differences in protein stability and depth of penetration into the membrane over the course of the simulation. Figures 4 to 7 show the initial and intermediate stages of the simulation. Overall, the peptide penetrated further into the DMPC than into the mixed DMPC/DMPE membrane. Helical secondary structure retention was stronger in the DMPC bilayer system.

Figure 4: DMPC membrane system with a 14-residues long myelin basic protein (MBP) peptide (red) placed on top of the membrane by merging membrane and peptide structural files using genbox (GROMACS).

Figure 5: DMPC lipid bilayer with a 14-residue MBP peptide after an 80 ns simulation. Note that the N-terminus of the peptide has deeply penetrated at least halfway into the leaflet. The helical structure of the peptide is preserved, as seen by comparison with the initial state (Figure 3).
Membrane stability differences by MBP C-terminal peptide
The peptide in the DMPC bilayer showed greater secondary structure stability compared to the mixed DMPC/DMPE bilayer. We hypothesize that DMPE molecules may play a role in destabilization of the peptide α-helix through electrostatic interactions preventing its entry into the lipid bilayer as explained below. This finding suggests that localized denaturation of solvent accessible MBP α-helices could occur within myelin, possibly augmenting the myelin degredation in MS, resulting eventually in subsequent decrease of nerve impulse propagation efficiency.

Membrane penetration differences by MBP C-terminal peptide
We also assessed the penetration depth of the same 14-residue long peptide. The DMPC bilayer was penetrated more strongly by the peptide than the DMPC/DMPE bilayer, further highlighting the importance of the membrane compositions (Figures 5 and 7). Synthetic DMPC and DMPE molecules represented phosphocholine (PC) and phosphoethanolamine (PE). Substitution of ethanolamine for choline in the bacterial cell wall significantly alters important biological functions, such as cellular adhesion and bacterial transformation [12]. As seen in Figure 8, choline has three methyl (CH₃) groups attached to an ‘N’ atom, whereas ethanolamine has three H atoms instead. Both choline and ethanolamine have the same formal charge of +1, but behave differently [1]. Here, the peptide remained attached to the DMPC/DMPE membrane surface, not being able to penetrate further into it. The opposite was observed in simulations with a DMPC bilayer into which the peptide penetrated deeply. Zull and Hopfinger [1] measured the accessibility of a negative test charge to a positively-charged amide ‘N’ atom, concluding that ethanolamine interacts more strongly with anions. Even though choline lipids have a three times stronger partial positive charge on an ‘N’ atom compared to ethanolamine (+0.685 against +0.131), it is sterically poorly accessible, resulting in choline having poorer interactions with anions. The positive charge of ethanolamine on an ‘N’ atom is more diffused and more accessible [1], possibly resulting in stronger interactions with the negative N-terminus of the peptide. Here, the peptide interacted more strongly with DMPE lipids in the mixed DMPC/DMPE membrane compared to the pure DMPC membrane.

CONCLUSIONS
We have presented the results of MD simulations of DMPC and DMPC/DMPE bilayers, both alone and with
a peptide fragment of MBP. We were successful in measuring key parameters such as diffusion coefficients and membrane thickness. In addition, we have described a general method for performing the workflow required to set up the molecular simulation of lipid membranes. The DMPC membrane showed a greater degree of fluidity at 309K compared to the mixed DMPC/DMPE membrane, with diffusion coefficients of $0.0207 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s and $0.0068 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s, respectively. Also the DMPC membrane was more deeply penetrated by a 14-residue long $\alpha$-helical peptide (A$_{14}$YDAQGTLSKIFKL$_{154}$) than the mixed DMPC/DMPE bilayer.

The results show the usefulness of molecular dynamics approaches in studying molecular dynamics of biological membranes. Although requiring care to set up, this approach is useful to study various factors affecting biological membranes. Our preliminary results suggest that local changes in membrane composition (e.g., enrichment in DMPE molecules), as well as the electrostatic properties could ultimately cause localized denaturation and mobility changes of external MBP $\alpha$-helices. We suggest that localized denaturation of solvent accessible MBP $\alpha$-helices could possibly augment the degradation of myelin in MS, resulting eventually in subsequent decrease of nerve impulse propagation efficiency. Thus, membrane microdomain lipid composition could affect the local degree of compaction of myelin.

The above results highlight the importance of the membrane composition, in conjunction with an array of other membrane properties, on final protein structural stability and behavior that is ultimately reflected in its biological function. These findings further support evidence from other studies that protein-membrane interactions and $\alpha$-helical protein stability are governed by a combination of factors including: hydrogen bonds, ion pairs, favorable surface van der Waals interactions, and thermodynamic parameters [13].
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Figure 8: (A) DMPC to (B) DMPE lipid structural comparison, illustrating differences in accessibility to formal positive charge of the N atom. Some important atoms around the N atom are labeled with white letters. Carbon atoms connected to ‘N’ in DMPC represent CH$_3$ groups.


