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Tourism destination image, henceforth referred to as TDI, is a concept that many researchers have studied in efforts to understand its creation, implementation, promotion and benefit. Academic researchers have forayed into these aspects of TDI in a multitude of ways, in hopes of understanding how this concept is relevant to the industry. The majority of academic research conducted in TDI has been studied from a marketing perspective, with limited consideration of additional influences on image. This paper aims to analyze the influence that non-marketing, social factors such as heritage, culture, place identity, stakeholder involvement, politics and gender play in relation to destination image. The result is a holistic model of TDI as a broad social science construct.

Much has been written about destination image in terms of its relation to the supply and demand sides of marketing. Tourism destination image can therefore be defined as [1] “the sum of beliefs, ideas, and impressions that a person has of a destination.” TDI has been studied in a multitude of ways including its influence on the decision making of potential tourists [2], as a measurement of public perception of place [3], and as a way to package aesthetically pleasing aspects of a place [4]. A particular area of focus is the impact that destination image can have on most every stakeholder from the local residents to the potential tourist [5]. Research has also been clear in stating that destination images are never static and can range on a continuum from positive to negative with constant change. In addition, images can have varying levels of influence on different stakeholders and can never be completely positive. There are always partial images of negative associations with every destination image regardless of the efforts invested in its change [6].

Current studies of tourism destination image often use words such as “integrated” or “amalgamated” approaches to studying destination image. This suggests that all facets of destination image have been scrutinized, in order to analyze their potential influence. However, a review of the literature seems to point more towards a fragmented approach to the study of TDI [7]. With an emphasis on the role of TDI in relation to marketing, numerous authors have touched on non-marketing influences on place identity. These studies all tackle separate segments of TDI, yet an overarching comprehensive gathering of these aspects is lacking. This paper will consider a broad range of TDI influences from the social science domain leading to the development of a more holistic model of tourism destination image.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Social science is the study of human society and of individual relationships in and to society [8]. Tourism has been examined from numerous perspectives in an attempt to gauge the potential benefits versus consequences. Social sciences relate to destinations in that they are unique, original aspects of human society that provide value and are differentiated from other destinations [9]. Social science influences relevant to TDI include heritage, culture, place identity, stakeholder involvement, politics and gender.

Influence of heritage

Heritage is a discipline of the social sciences that has much to do with the development of image. It deals with the application and development of past occurrences and history not only as symbols of place, but also as modern day elements of tourism [10]. Heritage has a significant impact on a destination’s image because it is highly integrated within other aspects of the tourism industry [11]. A destination’s heritage has been proven to have considerable effect on one’s perceptions and evaluations, and thus on one’s cognitive and affective image of place [10]. Heritage and history conjure up feelings and emotions that directly influence place identity by associating these feelings and emotions to a unique mental image [12]. It has also been attributed to the improvement and restoration of place image [11] and to the provision of developing place identity [13]. It
can be a way in which to hone in on the specifics of place by targeting aspects that are unique and capture a destination’s authenticity [14].

Heritage can form the basis for development, and can influence a destination’s product offering. It can provide a new perspective on existing attractions, or a way in which to differentiate a destination [15]. It has been previously utilized to promote a positive image of a destination often including certain aspects of a destination’s past while excluding others [16]. Heritage within TDI is thus, significantly market driven with little influence of its theoretical roots. Studies conducted in Japan’s rural areas demonstrate that although all aspects of heritage increase local residents’ sense of place, only selected fragments of heritage actually influence TDI [15]. Heritage may become a puppet to the creators of TDI, if utilized strategically by them [15]. In theory, all aspects of heritage have an influence on the organic image. However, typically only positive aspects of heritage are chosen in the construction of TDI.

Cultural influence
Culture differs from heritage in the sense that culture deals with the appreciation of people’s way of life, their behaviours, attitudes and norms [17]. While as noted earlier, heritage contends primarily with history [10], culture is much more rooted in the present. Culture is a key dimension in portraying a destination’s essence and sense of place. It provides a way for a place to enhance its reputation, and to make its place more real than commercialized. Culture provides a means for TDI to be genuine and agenda-free; it is a way in which image can become more authentic and match a destination’s identity [18]. However, culture has been found to impact TDI through concealing poor aspects of a destination while highlighting positive components [19]. Research shows that culture portrays aspects of a destination that visitors favour and as such, causes visitors to either overlook or forget irritating or distasteful aspects of a destination [19].

Research has advocated that culture differences are crucial and effective elements of tourism promotion and should be implemented as part of image development [20]. Authors MacKay & Fesenmaier [21], argue that each culture portrays a unique image and each individual perceives a unique image, creating a plethora of interpretations. As such, it is difficult to attribute which portions of TDI are influenced by culture. Authors Tasci & Gartner [5], state that although culture has been analyzed to a lesser extent in research than other factors, it must be in some form influential on TDI. These two authors note that culture’s influence on TDI needs to be more thoroughly examined by academia in order to conclusively state if a positive, negative or neutral relationship exists between the two. It would be beneficial to the understanding of not only culture’s influence on TDI, but TDI itself, if primary research including quantitative data was obtained to substantiate theoretical assumptions.

Influence of place identity
Place identity, from a sociological perspective, focuses on the way in which the inhabitants of a set geographic area view themselves, the significance they attribute to their place, and the meaning it provides to them and their daily lives [22]. This definition can then be related to TDI by examining the way in which others perceive place identity.

The way in which a person’s place identity influences TDI can be explored from different perspectives. One such perspective is personal image development. The way in which people view themselves is significantly correlated to the way in which they view their place [22]. Research has stated that every destination has a single-core identity that pre-dates any previous branding or image development [23]. This identity stems in part from the heritage and culture of a destination and the factors that differentiate it from other destinations [24]. The use and applicability of place identity in destination branding is a relatively new phenomenon to which DMOs (Destination Marketing Organizations) and other image developers have turned. In realization of the increasing competitiveness occurring within the tourism industry from other destinations, place identity has been a sought after way to differentiate [25]. A significantly unique place identity can alter TDI by subtly exerting its influence on image. For example, TDI can be influenced by the residents’ perception of their own image. This occurs because locals will portray the image they already hold when they deliver services, interact and promote their destination. What this suggests is that the image that a destination portrays has much to do with the way in which the local inhabitants view their own image [18].

In realizing the influence of place identity on image development, numerous stakeholders have turned to heritage as a way in which to capture identity. Heritage has been viewed as a provider of collective memory, of a representation of the past and a stable symbol of place [26]. Thus, the way in which certain aspects of heritage are chosen in image depiction could change the collective memory of inhabitants and potentially alter the identity that locals derive from place. Thus, it is a culmination of factors such as heritage and history that display a location’s sense of culture and way of life and are depicted through a destination’s image [27].

Influence of Stakeholder Involvement
Numerous researchers have written about the involvement and participation of stakeholders from both marketing and social perspectives. It is important for communities to be involved with the formation and development of TDI. This is essential because TDI is reliant on the community portraying a consistent image with the destinations own overall image. When the inhabitants of a destination are involved in TDI, not only is the image much more rich and culturally sound, but also more authentic and genuine [28]. Including locals within image development allows the community to become stewards of their own TDI,
and thus, by proxy defend the image against possible
destruction and criticism [29]. With or without the consent of
the developers of TDI (e.g., DMOs) various stakeholders
have a discernible influence on a destination’s image.
Visitors, politicians, and businesses amongst others, all exert
their own identities and agendas upon image, and thus, TDI
reflects aspects of all stakeholders [30]. In order for TDI to
be effectively formed and for the overall image to be
consistent, many stakeholders must participate in image
development. For instance, TDI may be destroyed or
negatively projected if locals perceive that tourism has a
negative impact on their community.

In addition, without the support of stakeholders, TDI
can become highly fragmented and undecipherable. This
causes a blurred image to be developed which not only
reduces the effectiveness of TDI, but also causes friction
amongst developers [4]. Respect among stakeholders is an
important aspect of tourism destination development. If
stakeholders have negative perceptions about each other and
are unwilling to see one another’s view of a destination then
the image becomes highly dominated by one or two
stakeholders [31]. The overall success of a destination’s
image requires a high degree of congruency amongst
stakeholders, and without it, TDI gets negatively influenced
and developed. Theoretically, this is a sound argument as it
is easy to state that all stakeholders should be involved in
image development. However, in reality aspects such as lack
of education, power, and money separate those who actively
develop the image versus those who cannot.

Political & Power influences

Tourism is reliant on public goods; as such the politics
revolving around tourism are different than those that affect
other industries. Politics from a TDI perspective can thus be
broadly defined as, the influence of various stakeholders,
their competition with one another for power, the process of
political affairs and the maneuvers used to exert influence
[32]. One political aspect that influences TDI is the concept
of funding and capital investment. Resources are scarce and
political bodies such as local and federal governments have a
significant impact in the allocation of funds. As such,
creators and developers must partake in the interests of these
political forces in order to continue receiving funds.
However, the interests of these political powers often differ
from those of various other stakeholders including the TDI
developers themselves.

The type of tourism development projects chosen, the
way in which they are marketed and the overall images that a
destination provides are all influenced by political acts and
forces. In addition, creators of destination image are
consistently forced by political and economic factors to
garner immediate financial and buzz worthy results [33].
Politicians want to see immediate results for their
investments to garner more of the popular vote and secure
their agendas, as opposed to investing in a project that is
more beneficial for the long term. This can create constant
new images that are not only fragmented, but heavily
influenced by the agendas and biases associated with the
particular politician/political entity in power at the time. TDI
benefits from long-term investment, creation and
development as is required when attempting to build a
solidified brand [33].

It is difficult to analyze the influence of politics on
image specifically as the concept is highly integrated with
other aspects of tourism such as economics and culture. The
politics of tourism tends to follow the status quo, or the
destination’s overall political scene. In this way, politics
allows place image to be directly influenced by social and
economic conditions, current demands and trends [34]. As
aforementioned, stakeholder involvement is one of the
numerous social influences affecting TDI, and since politics
by nature reflects the influence of various stakeholders,
image development becomes inherently politicized. One
aspect of politics that has a direct influence on TDI is the
system of society. A destination that embodies a functioning
democratic system will have an easier time influencing
image since it will be able to co-ordinate various
stakeholders. In contrast, a destination with a conflicting
political scene has more difficulty organizing and co-
coordinating stakeholders, thus further fragmenting the
image [32]. Tourism, and by proxy, TDI is seen by
researchers as a way in which nations raise their global
profile and garner accolades, to either increase their overall
standing or maintain their lead. Countries unable to compete
in other industries use destination image as a way in which to
carve a place within the international political map [33].

Power is a concept within politics and tourism that is
constantly being re-evaluated within society, with inequality
being the determinant factor between the winners and the
losers. Power can be defined as, the influence that one has
over or within a specific situation or context [35]. Since
politics deals with the interaction of people, such interactions
allow for the struggles for power to develop in these
relationships. As such, power involves aspects such as
gender, age, socio-economic class and even sexuality. This
power in influencing TDI, directly and proportionally reflects
the power held by certain class differentials in everyday
society. Thus, those who are disadvantaged, disenfranchised
and lesser in power, have an equally minute influence on
image and the way in which image is developed. TDI
becomes a way in which global power relationships can be
viewed. For example, the historical views of power, where
developed nations controlled and ruled over the periphery,
are evident within TDI, i.e. the north-south divide.
According to Morgan and Pritchard [33], the countries
previously considered among the periphery still project an
image that is primitive and unchanged, offering a product
that is inferior and cheap in comparison to neighbours to the
north. These images distort the advances that these lesser-
developed countries have made to date, and reinforce the
notion that they are below and even lesser in power. This
process becomes cyclical where nations with power use it to
develop strong images, and those nations without, project poor images [33].

While some differences still exist, changes in the past 12 years have reduced the power differences among countries to a certain extent. For example, China, India and Brazil have risen dramatically in terms of GDP and power over the last decade and now exert a discernible influence in a variety of ways. Not only do these countries develop their own images and market themselves, but they also hold significant power on the global stage [36]. Thus, it can be suggested that this new power among the previously peripheral countries will cause changes in image development.

**Gender influences**

Gender plays an important role within destination image because it is part of the sociological framework that influences image creation. Thus, it can be defined as [37]: “a social construct, used to designate psychological, social and cultural aspects of maleness and femaleness.” Gender influences the cultural and historical aspects of place and also plays a role in the distribution of power. It influences culture and history through its past and current implications. If a destination has portrayed women consistently as subservient, then the culture of such a destination would also be highly male dominated [38]. As such, women would occupy traditionally feminine occupations and be depicted in images with traditional, seductive or passive roles [39]. Gender also plays a key role in the distribution and use of power within society and therefore, within destination image development. In societies with stark differences between genders, where men are viewed as dominating figures, the power distribution tends to follow suit. As such, men hold the majority of power within these destinations and this image development is influenced almost solely by male views [38]. In addition, since men typically occupy a large portion of the executive decision making roles within these DMOs, and since they are unable to see the subtle gender cues within images, gender depiction often gets skewed. The images that are developed are fashioned in a patriarchal way with considerable influence given to male perspectives [39].

One aspect of gender that helps analyze the influence it has on destination image is the concept of gendered space. This construct can be defined as the innate characteristics a place, such as a destination, embodies that relate it to either masculinity or femininity [38]. Spaces can be viewed from different perspectives and offer different implications for both men and women. For example, some places offer more comfort to men to the exclusion of women, and vice versa [40]. In addition, Pritchard & Morgan [39] suggest that destinations become gendered by the power relationships that exist within places; thus, popular media is heavily influenced by the male heterosexual viewpoint. This provides a crucial implication for TDI since image is developed using a place’s characteristics. Thus, it could be advocated that the more masculine or feminine a place is, the more gendered the overall image will be.

Gender can be viewed as both a conscious and subconscious way of delivering intended messages carried out by the media and DMO’s. These gender roles are often substantially lagging behind in comparison to current society. On a conscious level, gender is used in a strategic way to promote a certain type of feeling or emotion. For instance, when attempting to promote a product or destination with a feeling of relaxation or intimacy, marketers often use women within their images. In opposition, when attempting to portray a destination or product that is more active or strong, a man is portrayed [39]. The implication of this to destination image development is significant. In destinations such as the Caribbean and South East Asia, where women are considered unequal to men, the images portrayed often depict women as objects to be used or experienced. They are depicted in provocative and sensual positions, where their bodies are used to express sexual feelings. In contrast, societies where women hold power in comparable amounts to men such as North America, women are often depicted in more luxurious and sophisticated ways. Rather than women being used as objects of sexualized emotion, women are used to portray feelings of luxury and comfort [38].

All the above factors amalgamate together to create a highly gendered and sexualized landscape and thus, destination [41]. Since the destination itself becomes gendered, it is evident that gender has an influence on image development. For example, images derived by males can depict a male-centric view, and in essence alienate women and their needs. Women have been shown to inhibit feelings of irritation when viewing images of other women in subservient depictions [39]. This will negatively influence the women decision marker’s choice of future destination selection [39]. Thus, the influence of gender can be said to diminish the quality of a destination image, if factors such as gender equality are not present.

**TOURISM DESTINATION IMAGE AS A SOCIAL SCIENCE CONSTRUCT – MODEL**

A model, Figure 1, has been developed to help illustrate the social science constructs that uniquely influence tourism destination image. It depicts the direction of the flow of influence of each factor as either being unidirectional or bidirectional. As such, some factors only influence TDI while others both influence and are influenced by TDI. Stakeholder involvement is one of the three factors of the model that is bidirectional. This involvement has been continuously supported in academic literature as being of crucial importance to the success of a destination [42]. By allowing numerous stakeholders to influence TDI development, the overall image becomes more rich, authentic, and accurately representative [28]. As such,
stakeholders can have considerable influence on image if given the opportunity. In addition, image also influences stakeholder involvement. If the image is representative and inclusive, then stakeholders will be not only more willing to participate in the development process but also become excellent stewards of the image [29].

Place identity is another social science construct that both influences and is influenced by destination image. The way in which place identity influences destination image is through personal image development of inhabitants [22], and the pre-existing image of the place [23]. Since creators of TDI are often local inhabitants, their personal image of place influences the image they develop. In addition, since all places have a unique pre-existing image prior to any development, this identity subtly influences TDI. In comparison, TDI influences place identity by depicting only certain aspects of a place’s heritage and culture [16]. This depiction can cause change in the collective memory of inhabitants and thus, can change the identity that locals derive from place.

Finally, gender is a social science construct that not only influences destination image but is also influenced by TDI. It also has an influence on politics, power, heritage and culture. Gender influences culture and heritage by creating distinct societies where the differences between genders are portrayed. This inequality influences the power distribution within the destination, which then factors into the image development process [38]. Gender influences TDI because the images developed and utilized by a destination are influenced by gendered landscapes. In addition, the level of equality among men and women in a place affects the types of images chosen [39]. Finally, destination image also influences gender in that the current image portrayed by a destination will impact each gender's purchase selection differently.

Heritage is a social science construct that can be said to have a unidirectional influence on destination image. Heritage influences people’s perceptions and evaluations and thus, one’s cognitive and affective image of place [12]. It influences TDI as a means of differentiation [15] to promote a positive image of a destination. The heritage of a place is utilized and selected strategically by TDI creators in order to create this positive image.

Culture differs from heritage in the sense that culture deals with the appreciation of people’s way of life, their behaviors, attitudes and norms [17]. As such, culture also directly influences destination image since it is used to enhance the reputation of a place, and make the image seem more genuine. Culture has been shown to project aspects of a destination that visitor’s favor, which is why it is used as an influence in destination image development.

Another social science construct that influences destination image is politics and by proxy, power. Politics influences TDI since the former is the process of political affairs that are undertaken to garner and exert power and influence [33]. TDI requires funding and investment, which is primarily provided by governments. As such, this funding allows governments to have great influence on the way image is created. Power influences TDI because those who hold power are able to mould and affect the creation of TDI [33]. Those with power through class differentials, gender, age and socio-economic status use their preferential place to exert their views on image development.

CONCLUSION

Tourism destination image is a concept that accounts for the sum of beliefs, ideas and impressions that a person has of a destination [1]. This complex concept has been analyzed to gauge the influences that certain social science constructs have upon it. Social science is the study of human society and of individual relationships in and to society [9]; as such it has a significant influence on destination image, which deals directly with society and place. Constructs of social science, from culture to gender have a discernable and different influence on destination image. Some constructs strengthen the overall image, while others have the potential to create a fragmented and artificial image. Although academic research has attempted to understand these influences, ambiguity still exists. This paper has attempted to amalgamate current research within these disciplines in order to model their relationships as a step toward understanding the influence of these constructs on TDI.
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